EMPLOYMENTLAW REVIEW

NINTH EDITION

Editor Erika C Collins

ELAWREVIEWS

EMPLOYMENTLAW REVIEW

NINTH EDITION

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in March 2018 For further information please contact Nick.Barette@thelawreviews.co.uk

Editor Erika C Collins

LAWREVIEWS

PUBLISHER Tom Barnes

SENIOR BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGER Nick Barette

BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT MANAGERS Thomas Lee, Joel Woods

> ACCOUNT MANAGERS Pere Aspinall, Sophie Emberson, Laura Lynas, Jack Bagnall

PRODUCT MARKETING EXECUTIVE Rebecca Mogridge

> RESEARCHER Arthur Hunter

EDITORIAL COORDINATOR Gavin Jordan

HEAD OF PRODUCTION Adam Myers

PRODUCTION EDITOR Tessa Brummitt

> SUBEDITOR Caroline Fewkes

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER Paul Howarth

Published in the United Kingdom by Law Business Research Ltd, London 87 Lancaster Road, London, W11 1QQ, UK © 2018 Law Business Research Ltd www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation, nor does it necessarily represent the views of authors' firms or their clients. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. The publishers accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of February 2018, be advised that this is a developing area.

Enquiries concerning reproduction should be sent to Law Business Research, at the address above. Enquiries concerning editorial content should be directed to the Publisher – tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

ISBN 978-1-912228-17-1

Printed in Great Britain by Encompass Print Solutions, Derbyshire Tel: 0844 2480 112

ELAWREVIEWS

THE ACQUISITION AND LEVERAGED FINANCE REVIEW THE ANTI-BRIBERY AND ANTI-CORRUPTION REVIEW THE ASSET MANAGEMENT REVIEW THE ASSET TRACING AND RECOVERY REVIEW THE AVIATION LAW REVIEW THE BANKING LITIGATION LAW REVIEW THE BANKING REGULATION REVIEW THE CARTELS AND LENIENCY REVIEW THE CLASS ACTIONS LAW REVIEW THE CONSUMER FINANCE LAW REVIEW THE CORPORATE GOVERNANCE REVIEW THE CORPORATE IMMIGRATION REVIEW THE DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW THE DOMINANCE AND MONOPOLIES REVIEW THE EMPLOYMENT LAW REVIEW THE ENERGY REGULATION AND MARKETS REVIEW THE ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE LAW REVIEW THE EXECUTIVE REMUNERATION REVIEW THE FOREIGN INVESTMENT REGULATION REVIEW THE FRANCHISE LAW REVIEW THE GAMBLING LAW REVIEW THE GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT REVIEW THE HEALTHCARE LAW REVIEW THE INITIAL PUBLIC OFFERINGS REVIEW THE INSOLVENCY REVIEW THE INSURANCE AND REINSURANCE LAW REVIEW THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AND ANTITRUST REVIEW THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL ARBITRATION REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL CAPITAL MARKETS REVIEW

THE INTERNATIONAL INVESTIGATIONS REVIEW THE INTERNATIONAL TRADE LAW REVIEW THE INVESTMENT TREATY ARBITRATION REVIEW THE INWARD INVESTMENT AND INTERNATIONAL TAXATION REVIEW THE ISLAMIC FINANCE AND MARKETS LAW REVIEW THE LENDING AND SECURED FINANCE REVIEW THE LIFE SCIENCES LAW REVIEW THE MERGER CONTROL REVIEW THE MERGERS AND ACQUISITIONS REVIEW THE MINING LAW REVIEW THE OIL AND GAS LAW REVIEW THE PATENT LITIGATION LAW REVIEW THE PRIVACY, DATA PROTECTION AND CYBERSECURITY LAW REVIEW THE PRIVATE COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW THE PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW THE PRIVATE WEALTH AND PRIVATE CLIENT REVIEW THE PRODUCT REGULATION AND LIABILITY REVIEW THE PROJECTS AND CONSTRUCTION REVIEW THE PUBLIC COMPETITION ENFORCEMENT REVIEW THE PUBLIC-PRIVATE PARTNERSHIP LAW REVIEW THE REAL ESTATE LAW REVIEW THE REAL ESTATE M&A AND PRIVATE EQUITY REVIEW THE RESTRUCTURING REVIEW THE SECURITIES LITIGATION REVIEW THE SHAREHOLDER RIGHTS AND ACTIVISM REVIEW THE SHIPPING LAW REVIEW THE SPORTS LAW REVIEW THE TAX DISPUTES AND LITIGATION REVIEW THE TECHNOLOGY, MEDIA AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS REVIEW THE THIRD PARTY LITIGATION FUNDING LAW REVIEW THE TRADEMARKS LAW REVIEW THE TRANSFER PRICING LAW REVIEW THE TRANSPORT FINANCE LAW REVIEW

www.TheLawReviews.co.uk

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The publisher acknowledges and thanks the following law firms for their learned assistance throughout the preparation of this book:

ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO

ALRUD LAW FIRM

ARIAS, FÁBREGA & FÁBREGA

ATHERSTONE & COOK LEGAL PRACTITIONERS

BAYKANIDEA LAW OFFICES

BORDEN LADNER GERVAIS LLP

CANTERBURY LAW LIMITED

CLEMENS

DAI-ICHI FUYO LAW OFFICE

DAWNING LAW OFFICE

DELOITTE ADVOKATFIRMA AS

DENTONS

ENSAFRICA

FERRAIUOLI LLC

GEORGE Z GEORGIOU & ASSOCIATES LLC

GIANNI, ORIGONI, GRIPPO, CAPPELLI & PARTNERS

GLEISS LUTZ

GLOBETROTTERS LEGAL

GONZALEZ CALVILLO, SC

HERZOG FOX & NEEMAN

JIMÉNEZ CRUZ PEŃA

KOCHHAR & CO

KROGERUS

LAW FIRM ŠAFAR & PARTNERS, LTD

LOYENS & LOEFF LUXEMBOURG S.À R.L.

MARVAL, O'FARRELL & MAIRAL

MATHESON

MATTOS FILHO, VEIGA FILHO, MARREY JR E QUIROGA ADVOGADOS

OSTERMANN & PARTNERS LLP

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

SAGARDOY ABOGADOS

SAYENKO KHARENKO

SBM LEGAL

SIGUION REYNA, MONTECILLO & ONGSIAKO LAW OFFICE

SOŁTYSIŃSKI KAWECKI & SZLĘZAK

URENDA, RENCORET, ORREGO Y DÖRR

VAN DOORNE NV

VAN OLMEN & WYNANT

VIEIRA DE ALMEIDA

WALDER WYSS LTD

WESSLAU SÖDERQVIST ADVOKATBYRÅ

CONTENTS

PREFACE	ix
Erika C Collins	
Chapter 1	EMPLOYMENT ISSUES IN CROSS-BORDER M&A
	TRANSACTIONS1
	Erika C Collins, Michelle A Gyves and Marissa A Mastroianni
Chapter 2	GLOBAL DIVERSITY AND INTERNATIONAL
	EMPLOYMENT
	Erika C Collins
Chapter 3	SOCIAL MEDIA AND INTERNATIONAL
	EMPLOYMENT17
	Erika C Collins
Chapter 4	RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION IN INTERNATIONAL
	EMPLOYMENT LAW
	Erika C Collins
Chapter 5	ARGENTINA
	Javier E Patrón and Enrique M Stile
Chapter 6	BELGIUM
	Chris Van Olmen
Chapter 7	BERMUDA
	Juliana M Snelling
Chapter 8	BRAZIL
	Vilma Toshie Kutomi and Domingos Antonio Fortunato Netto

Chapter 9	CANADA	
	Robert Bonhomme and Michael D Grodinsky	
Chapter 10	CHILE	
	Alberto Rencoret and Sebastián Merino von Bernath	
Chapter 11	CHINA	
	Erika C Collins and Ying Li	
Chapter 12	CROATIA	
	Mila Selak	
Chapter 13	CYPRUS	
	George Z Georgiou and Anna Praxitelous	
Chapter 14	DENMARK	
	Tommy Angermair	
Chapter 15	DOMINICAN REPUBLIC	
	Rosa (Lisa) Díaz Abreu	
Chapter 16	FINLAND	
	JP Alho and Carola Möller	
Chapter 17	FRANCE	
	Yasmine Tarasewicz and Paul Romatet	
Chapter 18	GERMANY	
	Thomas Winzer	
Chapter 19	GHANA	234
	Paa Kwesi Hagan	
Chapter 20	HONG KONG	
	Jeremy Leifer	
Chapter 21	INDIA	
	Debjani Aich	

Chapter 22	INDONESIA	270
	Nafis Adwani and Indra Setiawan	
Chapter 23	IRELAND	
	Bryan Dunne and Bláthnaid Evans	
Chapter 24	ISRAEL	
	Orly Gerbi, Maayan Hammer-Tzeelon, Nir Gal and Marian Fertleman	
Chapter 25	ITALY	
	Raffaella Betti Berutto	
Chapter 26	JAPAN	
	Shione Kinoshita, Shiho Azuma, Yuki Minato, Hideaki Saito, Hiroaki Koyama, Keisuke Tomida, Tomoaki Ikeda and Momoko Koga	
Chapter 27	LUXEMBOURG	
	Annie Elfassi and Florence D'Ath	
Chapter 28	MEXICO	
	Rafael Vallejo	
Chapter 29	NETHERLANDS	
	Els de Wind and Cara Pronk	
Chapter 30	NEW ZEALAND	403
	Bridget Smith and Tim Oldfield	
Chapter 31	NORWAY	414
	Gro Forsdal Helvik	
Chapter 32	PANAMA	426
	Vivian Holness	
Chapter 33	PHILIPPINES	437
	Rolando Mario G Villonco and Rafael H E Khan	
Chapter 34	POLAND	450
	Roch Pałubicki and Filip Sodulski	

Chapter 35	PORTUGAL	464
	Tiago Piló	
Chapter 36	PUERTO RICO	475
	Katherine González-Valentín, María Judith (Nani) Marchand-Sánchez, Tatiana Leal-González and Gregory José Figueroa-Rosario	
Chapter 37	RUSSIA	491
	Irina Anyukhina	
Chapter 38	SAUDI ARABIA John Balouziyeh and Jonathan Burns	509
Chapter 39	SLOVENIA	524
	Vesna Šafar and Martin Šafar	
Chapter 40	SOUTH AFRICA Stuart Harrison, Brian Patterson and Zahida Ebrahim	542
Chapter 41	SPAIN Ińigo Sagardoy de Simón and Gisella Rocío Alvarado Caycho	562
	inigo sugaraoy ac simon ana Giseua Rocio Aivaraao Cayeno	
Chapter 42	SWEDEN Jessica Stålhammar	579
	jessia suunammar	
Chapter 43	SWITZERLAND	591
Chapter 44	TAIWAN	604
	Jamie Shih-Mei Lin	
Chapter 45	TURKEY Serbülent Baykan and Handan Bektaş	614
Chapter 46	UKRAINE	628
	Svitlana Kheda	
Chapter 47	UNITED ARAB EMIRATES	641
	Iain Black, Catherine Beckett and Anna Terrizzi	

Chapter 48	UNITED KINGDOM	650
	Daniel Ornstein, Peta-Anne Barrow and Kelly McMullon	
Chapter 49	UNITED STATES Allan S Bloom and Laura M Fant	665
Chapter 50	ZIMBABWE Tawanda Nyamasoka	678
Appendix 1	ABOUT THE AUTHORS	689
Appendix 2	CONTRIBUTING LAW FIRMS' CONTACT DETAILS	719

PREFACE

Every winter we survey milestones and significant events in the international employment law space to update and publish *The Employment Law Review*. At that time, I read the Preface that I wrote for the first edition back in 2009. In that first edition, I noted that I believed that this type of book was long overdue because multinational corporations must understand and comply with the laws of the various jurisdictions in which they operate. This continues to hold true today, and this ninth edition of *The Employment Law Review* is proof of the continuously growing importance of international employment law. It has given me great pride and pleasure to see *The Employment Law Review* grow and develop over the past eight years to satisfy the initial purpose of this text: to serve as a tool to help legal practitioners and human resources professionals identify issues that present challenges to their clients and companies. As the various editions of this book have highlighted, changes to the laws of many jurisdictions over the past several years emphasise why we continue to consolidate and review this text to provide readers with an up-to-date reference guide.

Our first general interest chapter continues to track the variety of employment-related issues that arise during cross-border merger and acquisition transactions. After a brief decline following the global financial crisis, mergers and acquisitions remain active. This chapter, along with the relevant country-specific chapters, will aid practitioners and human resources professionals who conduct due diligence and provide other employment-related support in connection with cross-border corporate M&A deals.

Global diversity and inclusion initiatives remained a significant issue in 2017 in nations across the globe, and this is the topic of the second general interest chapter. In 2017, many countries in Asia and Europe, as well as South America, enhanced their employment laws to embrace a more inclusive vision of equality. These countries enacted anti-discrimination and anti-harassment legislation as well as gender quotas and pay equity regulation to ensure that all employees, regardless of gender, sexual orientation or gender identity, among other factors, are empowered and protected in the workplace. Unfortunately, there are still many countries where certain classes of individuals remain under-protected and under-represented in the workforce, and multinational companies still have many challenges with tracking and promoting their diversity and inclusion initiatives and training programmes.

The third general interest chapter focuses on another ever-increasing employment law trend in which companies revise, or consider revising, social media and mobile device management policies. Mobile devices and social media have a prominent role in and impact on both employee recruitment efforts and the interplay between an employer's interest in protecting its business and an employee's right to privacy. Because companies continue to implement 'bring-your-own-device' programmes, this chapter emphasises the issues that multinational employers must contemplate prior to unveiling such a policy. Bring-your-own-device issues remain at the forefront of employment law as more and more jurisdictions pass, or consider passing, privacy legislation that places significant restrictions on the processing of employees' personal data. This chapter both addresses practice pointers that employers must bear in mind when monitoring employees' use of social media at work and provides advance planning processes to consider prior to making an employment decision based on information found on social media.

In 2015, we introduced the fourth and newest general interest chapter, which discusses the interplay between religion and employment law. In 2017, we saw several new, interesting and impactful cases that further illustrate the widespread and constantly changing global norms and values concerning religion in the workplace. Religion has a significant status in societies throughout the world, and this chapter not only underscores how the workplace is affected by religious beliefs but also examines how the legal environment has adapted to such beliefs. The chapter explores how several nations manage and integrate religion in the workplace, in particular by examining headscarf bans and religious discrimination.

In addition to these four general interest chapters, this ninth edition of *The Employment Law Review* includes 46 country-specific chapters that detail the legal environment and developments of certain international jurisdictions. This edition has once again been the product of excellent collaboration, and I wish to thank our publisher. I also wish to thank all of our contributors and my associate, Marissa Mastroianni, for her invaluable efforts to bring this edition to fruition.

Erika C Collins

Proskauer Rose LLP New York February 2018

ERIKA C COLLINS

Proskauer Rose LLP

Erika Collins is a partner in the labour and employment law department and co-head of the international labour and employment law group of Proskauer Rose, resident in the New York office. Ms Collins advises and counsels multinational public and private companies on a wide range of cross-border employment and human resources matters throughout the Americas, Europe, Africa and Asia.

Ms Collins represents US and non-US employers in all aspects of company growth and restructuring, from office openings, executive hires and workforce expansions to company downsizing, employment terminations, mass lay-offs and office closures. She advises clients on preparing competitive employment packages and agreements, such as separation, expatriate and consulting agreements, that are compliant with local laws, as well as on payroll, benefits and vacation issues. Ms Collins regularly conducts multi-country audits of employment laws and practices in order to provide advice to clients regarding compliance with data privacy, fixed term contracts, outsourcing, and working time and leave regulations among numerous other issues.

Additionally, Ms Collins advises employers on sexual harassment and other misconduct allegations, as well as cross-border investigations. She also is experienced in conducting due

diligence on international subsidiaries and advising on applicable business transfer laws and employee transition issues in cross-border M&A transactions.

Ms Collins is the editor of *The Employment Law Review*, which covers employment laws in 46 countries. In addition to authoring numerous articles on international employment topics, Ms Collins is a regular speaker at the International Bar Association and the American Bar Association. Topics on which she has written and spoken recently include: cross-border transfers of executives; global mobility issues for multinationals; employment issues in cross-border M&A transactions; the landscape of issues in international employment law; global diversity programmes; the intersection of EU privacy and anti-discrimination laws; and cross-border investigations.

PROSKAUER ROSE LLP

Eleven Times Square New York, 10036-8299 United States Tel: +1 212 969 3555 Fax: +1 212 969 2900 ecollins@proskauer.com www.proskauer.com

INDONESIA

Nafis Adwani and Indra Setiawan¹

I INTRODUCTION

The primary legislation governing employment relationships in Indonesia is Law No. 13 of 2003 concerning Manpower.² This Law stipulates the primary rules for establishing an employment relationship, employment terms and conditions, and employment termination. Some time after its enactment, certain of its provisions were declared unconstitutional by the Indonesian Constitutional Court. Among the other laws that govern employment-related matters, the most important is Law No. 2 of 2004 regarding industrial relations dispute settlement.³

The above-mentioned laws are accompanied by implementing regulations in the form of, *inter alia*, government regulations and regulations of the Minister of Manpower.

To supervise the implementation of the employment laws, the regional offices of the Ministry of Manpower provide supervisors for matters related to manpower, whose task is to ensure compliance with the provisions.

Law No. 2/2004 regulates multi-stage industrial relations dispute settlements, and categorises industrial relations disputes into the following four groups:

- *a* disputes of rights: a dispute that arises from the non-fulfilment of a right as a result of differences in the implementation or interpretation of the prevailing laws and regulations, employment agreements, company regulations or collective labour agreements;
- b disputes of interest: a dispute that arises in an employment relationship as a result of disagreements with respect to the establishment of, or changes to, job requirements to be stipulated in an employment agreement, company regulations or a collective labour agreement;
- *c* disputes on employment termination: a dispute that arises from a disagreement with respect to an employment termination that is initiated by one of the parties to the employment agreement; and
- *d* disputes among labour unions: a dispute that arises between two labour unions within one company due to disagreements with respect to the membership, implementation of rights and obligations of the union.

¹ Nafis Adwani and Indra Setiawan are partners at Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro.

² Law No. 13/2003.

³ Law No. 2/2004.

Under the prevailing labour laws, before an industrial relations dispute is brought to court, the parties to the dispute (the respective employer and the labour union) must first make an attempt to settle their dispute through bipartite negotiations. The dispute should be approached in the spirit of deliberation to reach a consensus.

If the negotiation is unsuccessful, either party, or both parties, may register the dispute with the regional manpower agency by submitting evidence of the negotiation. Following the registration of the dispute, parties who have failed to reach a consensus by negotiation have the choice of trying to reach a consensus by way of conciliation, arbitration or mediation. In practice, disputing parties generally opt for mediation under the guidance of mediators who are appointed by the Ministry of Manpower. Mediation is the preferred means for the settlement of employment disputes.

Upon the completion of the mediation process, the mediator will issue a recommendation. If the recommendation is not accepted by one or both of the parties, the dispute may be brought to the Industrial Relations Court (IRC), which has the authority to examine, try and render a decision in an industrial relations dispute. For disputes specifically concerning employment rights and termination of employments, the unsatisfied party may appeal against the decision of the IRC to the Supreme Court.

II YEAR IN REVIEW

On 21 March 2017, the Minister of Manpower issued ministerial Regulation No. 1 of 2017 concerning salary structure and scale, which is the implementing regulation for Article 14 of Government Regulation No. 78 of 2015 concerning wages.

Regulation 1 of 2017 stipulates, among other things, the requirement for companies to have their salary structure and scale ready for implementation before 23 October 2017. Employees must be informed of the salary structure and scale when it is completed.

III BASICS OF ENTERING AN EMPLOYMENT RELATIONSHIP

i Employment relationship

Law No. 13/2003 stipulates that an employment relationship is a relationship between the employer and the employee, based on an employment agreement that sets forth the specifics of the job, wages and orders or instructions.

Employment agreements can be made in writing or verbally. If the agreement is made in writing, then it may be made for a definite (fixed-term) or indefinite period of time. A fixed-term employment agreement must be made in writing, and it must be written in Indonesian and in the Latin alphabet. If a work agreement is written in both Indonesian and a foreign language, in the event of differences in the interpretation it is the Indonesian version that will prevail.

A fixed-term employment agreement cannot be made for work that is permanent in nature. Violation of this requirement will cause the automatic conversion of an employment relationship from one that is non-permanent (fixed-term) to one that is permanent (indefinite term).

An employment agreement that is made in writing must state at least the following:

- *a* the name, address and line of business of the employer;
- *b* the name, sex, age and address of the employee;
- *c* the position of the employee or the type of work;

- *d* the place where the work is to be carried out;
- *e* the amount of wages and how the wages shall be paid;
- *f* the terms and conditions of employment stating the rights and obligations of both the employer and the employee;
- *g* the effective date of the employment agreement and the period of the employment agreement;
- *h* the place and the date where the employment agreement is made; and
- *i* the signatures of the parties to the employment agreement.

Amendments to the provisions of the employment agreement can be made at any time upon agreement of both parties.

ii Probationary period

A fixed-term employment agreement cannot provide for a probationary period. By contrast, an indefinite employment agreement may contain a probationary-period clause, but the probationary period may not be longer than three months. The employer and the employee may at any time terminate their employment relationship during the probationary period without the obligation to pay compensation to the other party, but the terminating party must pay the other party the salary for the remaining employment period until the employment termination date. It is recommended that the notice of termination is made in writing and states the intended date of termination.

iii Establishing a presence

There is no law in Indonesia prohibiting a foreign company, with no legal presence in Indonesia, from employing local employees to work in Indonesia. However, in doing so that company faces the possibility of being deemed as having a permanent establishment (PE) in Indonesia, with the consequence that it must pay Indonesian taxes.

Likewise, a foreign company with no legal presence in Indonesia may hire an employee through an agency or another third party, and may engage an independent contractor. However, it again faces the possibility of being deemed as having a PE, in which case it will be under obligation to comply with all applicable Indonesian tax regulations, including a requirement to register with the relevant Indonesian tax office.

Permanent employees (employees who work under an employment agreement for an indefinite period) have, among others, the following statutory benefits:

- *a* social security;
- *b* leave entitlement;
- *c* religious festivity allowance; and
- *d* retirement allowance.

IV RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS

The prevailing laws and regulations on employment contain no specific provisions on non-compete covenants and related agreements.

However, Article 1601(x) of the Indonesian Civil Code provides that an agreement that restricts the employee from performing certain work following his or her termination shall be valid only if such agreement is made in writing with the employee.

The judge may, based either on a claim of the employee or upon his or her defence in a dispute, nullify such an agreement either in its entirety or partially, on the grounds that, in comparison to the interest of the employer to be protected and that of the employee, the employee has been unfairly disadvantaged by such agreement.

With regard to such agreement, the employer cannot assume any rights if it has terminated the employment unlawfully, or if the employee terminated the employment because of a certain matter caused by the employer, whether intentionally or due to its fault, or if the judge, at the request or pursuant to a claim by the employee, has declared the termination of the agreement based on urgent reasons that were given to the employee because of the employer's intention or fault.

V WAGES

i Working time

The maximum working time is 40 hours per week, with the following arrangements:

- *a* seven hours a day for six working days a week; or
- *b* eight hours a day for five working days a week.

It is prohibited to employ female employees under 18 years of age between the hours of 11pm and 7am. Employers are also prohibited from employing pregnant female employees who, according to a physician's statement, are at risk of damaging their health or compromising their own safety and the safety of their pregnancy if they work between 11pm and 7am. Female employees who work between 11pm and 7am must be provided with food and beverages as well as guarantees of personal safety and decency. The employer is also obliged to provide transport for female employees who work between 11pm and 5am.

ii Overtime

Employers who require an employee to work outside of the normal working hours must pay overtime wages to the employee except if the employee's position, function or job is that of a thinker, planner, implementer or controller whose working hours cannot be limited to normal working hours. Employees of this kind are not entitled to overtime wages, but they are entitled to a higher salary than the salary of ordinary employees.

Overtime can only be performed for a maximum of three hours per day and 14 hours per week. The overtime pay rate for one hour of overtime work is 0.58 per cent of the monthly wage plus fixed allowances, if any.

If overtime is performed in working days, the calculation for the overtime wages shall be as follows:

- *a* the first overtime hour shall be paid one-and-a-half times the overtime pay rate; and
- *b* each consecutive overtime hour after this shall be paid twice the overtime pay rate.

If the overtime work is performed during public holidays and the employee works for five working days, the overtime wages will be as follows:

- *a* the first eight hours shall be paid at twice the overtime pay rate;
- *b* the ninth hour shall be paid at three times the overtime pay rate; and
- *c* the 10th and 11th hours shall be paid at four times the overtime pay rate.

iii New provision on payment of wages

The newly issued regulation on wages (Regulation 78 of 2015) reveals the government's intention to promote transparency. For the first time, the regulation introduces a formula for the calculation of minimum wages. The regulation confirms that the minimum wage applies only to single (unmarried) employees with less than one year's working experience. Therefore, applying the minimum wage to married or experienced employees is not something that is provided for in Regulation 78 of 2015.

Further, the formula for the calculation of a minimum wage is as follows:

UMn	=	Minimum payment to be determined	
UMt	=	Current minimum payment	
Inflationt	=	Inflation calculated from September of the previous year until September of the current year	
ΔPDBt	=	Gross domestic product (GDP) development calculated from the development of the GDP, which consists of the third and fourth quarters of the previous year and the first and second quarters of the current year	

UMn = UM	t [UMt x	(Inflationt +	$\Delta PDBt)$]
----------	----------	---------------	------------------

The enforcement of this formula gives certainty to entrepreneurs as it enables entrepreneurs to forecast and calculate the minimum wage for the following year.

VI FOREIGN WORKERS

The general rule is that foreign workers are welcome to work in Indonesia, provided that Indonesian nationals cannot perform the work required. This requirement is generally applied in a lenient fashion, subject to specific requirements in a number of industries.

The employment of expatriates for work in Indonesia falls under the category of employment for a fixed term. The reasoning behind this categorisation is that those expatriates will need to obtain a valid permit for working in Indonesia and that this work permit is only issued with a maximum validity of 12 months (even though it comes with a possibility of extension).

Foreign investment companies are allowed to employ expatriates, subject to the prevailing laws and regulations on recruitment of foreign workers. On the other hand, when conducting business in Indonesia, a trading representative office is required to have at least three Indonesian nationals who are simultaneously employed and trained so that the expatriates' technical know-how and management skills may be transferred.

An employer must obtain an expatriate manpower utilisation plan as the master document to obtain the individual work permits for every expatriate employed by the employer.

Further, the expatriate worker shall also obtain a limited-stay visa and the limited-stay permit card for valid employment in Indonesia.

Expatriate workers are entitled to the same protections under the relevant Indonesian labour laws and regulations, as the Indonesian employees.

VII GLOBAL POLICIES

Law No. 13/2003 requires employers having no fewer than 10 employees to establish a set of enterprise or company rules and regulations (company regulations).

Under Article 111 of Law No. 13/2003, company regulations must regulate at least the following matters:

- *a* the rights and obligations of the employer and the employees;
- *b* working conditions or requirements;
- *c* employee discipline and code of conduct;
- *d* the validity period of the company regulations; and
- *e* the use of information and facilities provided by the employer.

The provisions of company regulations may not contravene the provisions of applicable laws and regulations.

The draft company regulations that have been agreed by the employer and the representative of employees (or the respective trade union in the company, if any) must be submitted to the relevant manpower agency for approval before they can be put into effect. The company regulations are valid for two years as of their approval date; upon expiration they can be renewed.

If a company has a labour union, the labour union may enter into a collective labour agreement (CLA) with the management of the company. The CLA is also valid for two years with the possibility of extension.

i Discrimination

Law No.13/2003 protects employees from discrimination at the work place. Article 5 of the Law provides as follows:

All persons that are qualified to perform a job have the same opportunity to get the job without discrimination. The interpretation of Article 5 provides that all persons who are qualified to perform a job have the same right and opportunity to find a decent job that is in line with interest and capability and to earn a decent living, and may not be discriminated on grounds of sex, ethnicity, race, religion or political orientation.

Article 6 Law No. 13/2003 provides as follows:

(1) All workers have the right to receive equal treatment without discrimination from their employer; and

(2) Employers are under the obligation to provide workers with equal rights and responsibilities with no discrimination based on sex, ethnicity, race, religion, skin colour, or political orientation.

Indonesia has also ratified, among others, the following ILO Conventions:

- *a* No. 111 of 1958 on Discrimination in Employment and Occupation; and
- *b* No. 80 of 1957 on Equal Remuneration for Male and Female Workers for Work of Equal Value.

ii Corruption

With regard to corruption, Indonesia has Law No. 31 of 1999 concerning Eradication of Criminal Acts of Corruption as amended by Law No. 20 of 2001 (the Anti-Corruption Law). In addition, there is Law No. 11 of 1980 concerning Criminal Acts of Bribery (the Bribery Law).

The Indonesian government has not, to date, issued laws or regulations pertaining to sexual harassment. In practice, sexual harassment cases are adjudicated by using the provisions of Articles 289–296 of the Indonesian Criminal Code. To whatever extent possible, the global policies on discrimination, corruption and sexual harassment must be put in writing under the employment agreement, company regulation or CLA.

VIII TRANSLATION

Employment agreements and documents must be made in the Indonesian language. Article 31, Paragraph 1 of Law No. 24 of 2009 on the Flag, the Language, the National Emblem and the National Anthem provides that: 'The Indonesian language shall be used in memoranda of understanding or agreements involving state institutions, government institutions, private institutions or Indonesian citizens.' Paragraph 2 of Article 31 of the Law provides that: 'Memorandums of understanding or agreements referred to in Paragraph 1 which involve foreign parties shall also be written in the national language of those foreign parties and/or the English language.'

While Article 31 contains legal obligations, the Law does not provide for any sanctions against the contravention of these obligations. This fact has created serious legal ambiguity and raises the question of whether a private contract, not written in the Indonesian language in violation of Article 31, will be deemed null and void by a presiding judge.

The Indonesian Supreme Court has affirmed the decision of a district court and High Court that invalidated a loan agreement that was signed following the enactment of the Law because the agreement was not made in the Indonesian language. Further, under Article 40 of the Law, the use of the Indonesian language in memoranda of understanding and in agreements (including employment agreements) is to be further regulated by an implementing regulation, which is to be issued by the president (to be issued within two years after the enactment of the Law). In 2014, the government issued Government Regulation No. 57 of 2014 concerning the Improvement and Development of Indonesian Language and Literature.

IX EMPLOYEE REPRESENTATION

The Manpower Law provides the following three forums in which employees may have representation:

- *a* the bipartite forum, which consists of representatives of the employees and the employer, and that may be established if there are at least 50 employees;
- *b* the tripartite forum, which consists of representatives of the labour union, the employer association and the regulator; and
- *c* the labour union, the establishment of which is not mandatory. A group of at least 10 employees can establish a labour union.

The government's current involvement in the area of labour unions lies solely with the registration of unions. Despite the fact that the government does not intervene in the establishment of a labour union, a union must be democratic, independent and responsible. Its membership may not be based on politics, religion, race or gender and must be in line with the principles of the Pancasila (the fundamental ideology of the Republic of Indonesia) and the 1945 Constitution.

Pursuant to Law No. 21 of 2000 regarding Labour Unions,⁴ every employee or labourer has the right to form or to become a member of a trade union. A trade union is formed by at least 10 employees or labourers.

A trade union must, upon its establishment, submit a written notification to the local government agency responsible for manpower affairs for the purpose of registration and record-keeping.

A trade union or labour union that has been duly registered and possesses a registration number has the right to:

- *a* negotiate a collective labour agreement with the employer;
- *b* represent workers or labourers in industrial dispute settlements;
- *c* represent workers or labourers in manpower institutions;
- *d* establish an institution or carry out activities for the improvement of the workers or labourers' welfare; and
- *e* carry out other manpower or employment-related activities as long as the activities are not against the prevailing laws and regulations.

Matters regarding which officials or which members of the trade union will act as the union's representatives, as well as matters regarding the appointment of such representatives, are to be regulated in by-laws or the articles of association of the respective trade union.

The following provisions of Law 21/2000 are intended to protect members of a trade union, federation or confederation of trade unions.

No persons (including employers) may either prevent or force an employee or labourer from:

- *a* forming or not forming a trade union;
- *b* becoming or not becoming a union official;
- *c* becoming or not becoming a union member; or
- *d* carrying out or not carrying out trade union activities by means of the following:
 - terminating his or her employment, temporarily suspending his or her employment, demoting him or her, or transferring him or her to another post, another division or another place in order to discourage or prevent him or her from carrying out union activities or make such activities virtually impossible;
 - not paying, or reducing the amount of his or her wage;
 - intimidating the employee or subjecting him or her to any other forms of intimidation; and
 - campaigning against the establishment of the trade union.

An employer must allow the officials and members of a trade union to carry out union activities during working hours, as agreed upon by the trade union and the employer, or as provided in the collective labour agreement.

⁴ Law No. 21/2000.

Law 21/2000 is silent on the matter regarding frequency of meetings for trade union members and officials, and the period of service of the trade union members. These matters must be regulated in the by-laws or the articles of association of the trade union.

X DATA PROTECTION

i Requirements for registration

While Indonesia has enacted various laws relating to data privacy in a number of specific areas (e.g., banking, tax), currently no specific and dedicated laws have been enacted regarding the protection of an employee's privacy before, during or after employment. The laws that may be applicable are Law No. 39 of 1999 concerning Human Rights (the Human Rights Law) and Law No. 11 of 2008 as amended by Law No. 19 of 2016 concerning Electronic Information and Transaction (the EIT Law).

The Human Rights Law stipulates that each individual has the right to his or her own privacy, and may not be subjected to an investigation without his or her agreement. Article 39 of the Human Rights Law provides that freedom and secrecy of communication by letter or any other electronic media may not be disturbed or interrupted except upon the instruction of a judge or other authority.

Article 26, Paragraph 1 of the EIT Law stipulates that, unless provided otherwise by relevant laws and regulations, use of any information through electronic media that involves a person's personal data must be made with the consent of the person concerned. In line with the Elucidation to Article 26, Paragraph 1 of the EIT Law, the protection of personal data is part of privacy rights that include the following definitions: (1) the right to enjoy a personal life, free from any disturbance; (2) the right to communicate without conversations being spied on; and (3) the right of access to information to do with one's personal life or privacy. In relation to the above, Government Regulation No. 82 of 2012, as implementing regulation of the EIT Law, stipulates that an operator of an electronic system who manages the personal data in the electronic media, must maintain the confidentiality, integrity, authenticity, accessibility, availability and traceability of such electronic information or documents in accordance with laws and regulations.

Based on the foregoing, considering the broad interpretation of personal data, any data or electronic documents related to employees may be considered as personal data; thus, an employer may reserve the right to routinely review all employee emails sent using the employer's email system or documents managed, maintained and held by the employee, if the employee has been granted to the employer.

ii Cross-border data transfers

The prevailing labour law and regulations do not contain restricting provisions in relation to the dissemination or exportation of data to another company in jurisdictions beyond the borders of Indonesia. However, taking into account the provisions of the Human Rights Law and the EIT Law, such dissemination or exportation of employee data may be done with the employees' consent.

iii Sensitive data

The prevailing labour laws and regulations do not provide definitions of 'sensitive data', nor do they set forth restrictions on processing sensitive data.

An employer may perform a medical screening test as long as it is performed with the aim of obtaining information that is relevant to the position's function and duties. The employer may perform the test by itself or use the services of a third party.

Under the Minister of Labour and Transmigration Decision No. KEP.68/MEN/IV/2004 of 2004 regarding prevention and control of HIV/AIDS in the workplace, an employer is prohibited from conducting an HIV test on an employee at any phase of the employment (or before the employment) without the written consent of the employee, except in the event that the test is required for the purpose of the fulfilment of the employer's obligation to provide pre- and post-test counselling to the worker.

The results of an HIV test must be treated confidentially and be protected in the same manner as that of other medical information.

iv Background checks

The labour laws and their implementing regulations do not specifically regulate employee background checks, however, see generally subsections i–iii, above. In practice, an employer or prospective employer cannot do credit checks or criminal checks on its prospective employee without the employee's consent. A potential employer may only request applicants or prospective employees to provide a statement declaring no criminal record or clearance from the relevant police office, or their bank statements.

XI DISCONTINUING EMPLOYMENT

i Dismissal

In general, individual employment terminations or dismissals are regulated under Law No. 13/2003, but the procedure for the termination of an employment relationship is regulated in the Industrial Relations Law.

Law No. 13/2003 provides for two kinds of employment termination:

- *a* termination without cause (where the employee is not at fault); and
- *b* termination with cause (where the employee is at fault).

Termination without cause

Termination without cause is triggered by the following circumstances:

- *a* the employer's change of ownership or change of status, or the employer's merger or consolidation;
- *b* the employer closing down owing to continual loss, *force majeure* and efficiency;
- *c* bankruptcy;
- d the employee's resignation;
- *e* the employee's retirement; or
- f the employee's death.

Termination with cause

Termination with cause is triggered by the following circumstances:

- *a* the employee's violation of the employment contract or company regulation; or
- *b* the employee's gross wrongdoing or commission of a major fault.

The decision of the Constitutional Court of Indonesia in Case No. 012/PUU-I/2003, dated 26 October 2004, declared the provision in relation to committing a major fault as being in contravention of Article 27, Paragraph (1) of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution, with the result that it is not applicable to an employee's termination.

The subsequent decision of Manpower Minister No. 13 of 2005 provides that such termination can only be conducted if a final and binding verdict confirming the employee's wrongdoing has been obtained from a criminal court judge.

All employment termination plans (except where the termination is caused by the resignation of the employee) require the IRC's approval, in the form of a decision. In addition, the employer is obliged to give notification of the termination plan and discuss it with the respective trade union or employee (if the employee is not a member of a trade union, or if there is no trade union in the company). The employee can be rehired by the employer after the employment termination (normally if the termination is without fault).

The labour laws and regulations do not contain a notice period requirement for an employment termination. However, owing to the requirement for prior discussion between parties, mentioned above, in practice one month's notice should be given. However, the employer may pay a certain sum of money in lieu of the notice, as long as it is agreed to by the employee concerned.

Under Law No. 13/2003, employees whose employment is terminated (except in the event the termination is caused by their resignation or commission of a major fault) are eligible for severance pay from the employer. The formula for the severance amount is discussed below.

The often cumbersome and costly procedures for an employment termination have tended to make employers opt for an amicable settlement instead as this is often more advantageous to both the employer and the employee. A mutually agreed, amicable employment termination settlement must be made in the form of a written agreement and the agreement must be registered with the IRC.

ii Redundancies

The labour regulations do not contain provisions on redundancies. As discussed above, in general an employer who wishes to terminate the employment of its employees must obtain the approval of the IRC. As such, an employer may terminate an employee's contract by simply giving him or her notice, followed by the procedure for the termination of an employment relationship as regulated in the Industrial Relations Law.

Owing to the absence of specific regulations on these matters, mass lay-offs or collective dismissals are governed under Articles 163–165 of Law No. 13/2003 concerning employment termination owing to the employer's merger or acquisition, closing down, downsizing or rationalisation or bankruptcy. For mass or individual employment terminations, a consultation or discussion with the employee or the trade union is required before the termination.

The termination pay, or severance package, is calculated on the basis of the worker's:

- *a* monthly wages;
- *b* period of service; and
- *c* allowances and benefits, such as leave, medical and housing entitlements.

Severance pay

The formula for severance pay is: one month's wages for each year of service with a maximum of nine months' wages. The following is the calculation table:

Length of service	Severance pay
Less than 1 year	1 month's wages
1 year or more but less than 2 years	2 months' wages
2 years or more but less than 3 years	3 months' wages
3 years or more but less than 4 years	4 months' wages
4 years or more but less than 5 years	5 months' wages
5 years or more but less than 6 years	6 months' wages
6 years or more but less than 7 years	7 months' wages
7 years or more but less than 8 years	8 months' wages
8 years or more	9 months' wages

Service appreciation pay (merit allowance)

Service appreciation pay is calculated starting with two months' wages for the first three years of service, followed by an additional one month's wages for every three years of service thereafter, up to a maximum of 10 months' wages for 24 years of service. The following is the calculation table for service appreciation pay:

Length of service	Service appreciation pay
3 years or more but less than 6 years	2 months' wages
6 years or more but less than 9 years	3 months' wages
9 years or more but less than 12 years	4 months' wages
12 years or more but less than 15 years	5 months' wages
15 years or more but less than 18 years	6 months' wages
18 years or more but less than 21 years	7 months' wages
21 years or more but less than 24 years	8 months' wages
24 years or more	10 months' wages

Compensation

Law No. 13/2003 defines compensation as cash compensation for the following benefits and allowances:

- *a* annual leave (or long leave) that has not expired and has not been taken: an employee becomes entitled to annual leave after having worked for 12 consecutive months;
- *b* relocation expenses: to return the employee and his or her family to the place from which the employee was recruited;
- *c* medical and housing allowance: this is stipulated to be 15 per cent of the total severance pay and service appreciation pay, if any;
- *d* compensation for other benefits: provided under the respective employment agreement, the company regulations or the collective labour agreement; and
- *e* other compensation amounts as determined by the IRC: in general, based on the special arrangements between the employer and employee.

Calculation of severance allowance

For the calculation of severance pay, service appreciation pay and compensation, the monthly wage is defined as:

- *a* the basic wage (gross salary);
- *b* any kind of allowance granted to the employee and his or her family periodically and regularly; and
- *c* the cost price of rations supplied by the employer to the employee free of charge or, if supplied at a discount, the difference between the cost price and the price at discount.

Severance package

The amount and type of severance to be paid to the employee vary, depending upon the basis of the dismissal or termination.

If the dismissal is because of the employee's violation of the terms of the employment agreement, he or she is entitled to the standard severance pay, service appreciation pay and compensation.

If the dismissal is not because of the employee's fault but for other reasons, such as the employee reaching the pension age (where the employer does not include the employee in a pension programme), the employee's death or the employer's rationalisation or redundancy scheme, the employee is entitled to twice the amount of severance pay plus the standard service appreciation pay and compensation.

XII TRANSFER OF BUSINESS

Under Article 61, Paragraph 3 of Law No. 13/2003, in the event of the sale of the business of the employer, all of the rights of the seller's employees become the responsibility of the purchaser, unless agreed otherwise in the respective sale and purchase agreement, without diminishing the rights of the employees concerned.

Article 163, Paragraph 1 of Law No. 13/2003, provides that the employer may terminate its employment relationship with an employee in the event of the employer's change in status, merger, consolidation or change in ownership.

i Permanent employees

In theory and in practice, a transfer of undertakings may give rise to the following three circumstances with respect to the permanent employees of the acquired, surviving, consolidated, divided or transferor company.

Where an employee is not willing to continue his or her employment with the transferee company (new employer)

In this circumstance, the employee is entitled to the severance package amount stipulated by the Labour Law, which consists of the stipulated severance amount, the service appreciation amount and the compensation amount (in other words, the basic amounts of the severance package elements, without any multiplication of the amount). The IRC's approval is required for this.

Where the new employer is not willing to continue the employment of a worker

In this circumstance, the employee is entitled to at least twice the stipulated severance amount, as well as the service appreciation amount, if any, and the compensation amount. The IRC's approval is required.

Where the new employer and the employee mutually agree to continue the employment

In this circumstance, the employment relationship is continued under the same terms and conditions, and the employee's seniority status is recognised by the new employer and retained. The employee is not entitled to a severance package.

For the details of the severance pay calculation, see Section XI.ii.

ii Non-permanent employees

Non-permanent employees are those employees who work on the basis of a contract for a specified period of time. A non-permanent employee whose employment is not continued by the new employer is entitled to receive payment of his or her wages for the remaining period of the contract, if the employment is ended before the expiry of the contract.

XIV OUTSOURCING

Regulation of the Ministry of Manpower and Transmigration No. 19 of 2012, dated 14 November 2012 regarding Conditions for the Assignment of Part of the Work Performance to Another Company, as amended by Regulation of the Ministry of Manpower and Transmigration No. 27 of 2014, dated 31 December 2014 (the Outsourcing Regulation), restricts the activities of the company (the 'user' of the services) that may be assigned to only five activities. These five activities are supporting activities or activities that are not the company's core business activities, and relate to the following: cleaning services; security services; catering services; transportation services; and mining and oil services. This means that activities other than the aforementioned activities may not be assigned to another company. This is where the Outsourcing Regulation differs from Law No. 13/2003. Law No. 13/2003 does not limit the supporting activities that may be assigned to only those five activity categories.

The Outsourcing Regulation is clearly making an attempt to solve outsourcing issues. Among other things, it requires the insertion of the transfer of undertakings (protection of employment) (TUPE) clause, the requirement of which is not mentioned in Law No. 13/2003. The TUPE clause provides protection to employees in the event that the provider company is replaced with another provider company by the user. This clause protects the employees by ensuring that the length of their service and their salary are in line with their experience. The Outsourcing Regulation requires that the contract between the existing provider company and the employee concerned contains the TUPE clause. As long as it contains the TUPE clause or provision, an employment contract between a provider company and its employee may take the form of an employment contract for a fixed term.

The TUPE clause is stipulated in Article 19b (which requires its insertion in contracts of work agreement or labour supplier agreements between the provider company and the user company) and Articles 29(2)c and 29(3)f (which require its insertion in individual employment agreements between the employees and their provider companies) of the Outsourcing Regulation.

Article 30 of the Outsourcing Regulation stipulates the effects for non-compliance with the TUPE clause requirement for fixed-term employment contracts between provider companies and their employees, comprising:

- *a* automatic change of the employment status from employment for a fixed term to employment for an unfixed or indefinite period as of the date the fixed-term employment contract is signed by the employer and the employee; and
- *b* rejection by the Ministry of Manpower of the registration of the labour supplier agreement between the provider company and the user.

XV OUTLOOK

i Common contraventions of employment law

Employers in Indonesia often encounter problems that arise from obligations they are not aware of or that they neglect to fulfil, or from imposing prohibited employment rules, such as the following.

Inserting a probation clause in a fixed-term agreement

Employers often make the mistake of including a probation-period provision in their fixed-term employment agreements. Under the prevailing labour laws, an employee who is working under a fixed-term employment agreement may not be required to undergo a probation period. A probation clause in an employment agreement for a fixed term will be deemed null and void by operation of law.

Wrongfully entering into a fixed-term employment agreement

An employment agreement for a fixed term may only be entered into if the conditions for it are satisfied (see Section III.i). An employment agreement for a fixed term will automatically transform into an employment agreement for an unspecified period if the conditions for the former type of employment are not satisfied. This has the further consequence that, in the event of the termination of the employment, the employer is required to pay severance to the employee.

Terminating an employee's contract for reason of his or her major fault or grave wrongdoing without a verdict of a criminal court judge

Many employers are unaware that the provision of Article 158 of Law No. 13/2003 regarding termination owing to a major fault was declared as no longer in effect by the decision of the Constitutional Court of Indonesia, on the ground that it is in contravention of Article 27, Paragraph 1 of the 1945 Indonesian Constitution.

Under the decision of the Manpower Minister No. 13 of 2005, which is still in effect, the termination of an employment agreement on the ground of the employee's grave wrongdoing or major fault can be carried out if a final and binding verdict to that effect has been obtained from a criminal court judge.

Contracting work to a third party without following the proper procedure

A company may contract out part of its non-core work to a third party via a labour supplier agreement or a contractor agreement. The Indonesian government regulates a strict procedure that must be complied with by the company before contracting the non-core work to the labour supplier company or contractor.

Some companies fail to observe the correct procedure, however, and contract out their core work to a third party, which is prohibited. This condition may ultimately create a loss to the company because Law No. 13/2003 would then oblige the company to employ the third party's employees as its employees.

ii Hot topics

The hot topic in 2017 in the field of labour law was the introduction of a formula for the calculation of a minimum wage, as mentioned in Section II. In 2017, Jakarta's minimum wage increased by 9 per cent compared to 2016.

iii National Legislation Programme 2015–2019

Currently, there are three employment-related bills that have been prepared by the parliament. As recorded in the National Legislation Programme 2015–2019, there is the Bill on Manpower, the Bill on Supervision of Manpower and the Bill on Protection of Indonesian Workers Abroad. Among these, the Bill on Protection of Indonesian Workers Abroad was a priority of the 2017 National Legislation Programme.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

NAFIS ADWANI

Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro

Mr Nafis Adwani joined ABNR in 1993 and has been a partner since 1 July 1998. He graduated from the faculty of law at the University of Indonesia in 1984, majoring in civil law. In 1997, he was seconded to an international law firm in Singapore and gained experience in working on international transactions. His major areas of specialisation are corporate, labour and agrarian laws. In labour matters he has assisted business corporations in major employment termination cases and many other employment matters, such as reviewing employment contracts, reviewing company regulations, providing employment advice, etc. He is licensed by the Capital Market Supervisory Board to provide legal opinions to companies offering securities in the Indonesian capital market. He is also a licensed advocate.

INDRA SETIAWAN

Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro

Mr Indra Setiawan joined ABNR in 2003 and became a partner on 1 January 2016. He graduated from the faculty of law of the University of Indonesia, majoring in Indonesian Civil Law. In ABNR, he specialises in Indonesian employment law, and has advised clients on various employment matters. He has extensive experience in employment dispute matters and cases, and has represented clients before Indonesian industrial relations courts. In addition to his employment practice, over the years he has developed expertise in other areas of practice, which include corporate and commercial law, foreign investment, mergers and acquisitions, plantation, pharmaceutical, and immigration. From 2013 to 2014, he was seconded to one of the largest law firms in South Korea.

ALI BUDIARDJO, NUGROHO, REKSODIPUTRO

Graha CIMB Niaga, 24th Floor Jalan Jenderal Sudirman Kav. 58 Jakarta 12190 Indonesia Tel: +62 21 250 5125 / 5136 Fax: +62 21 250 5001 nadwani@abnrlaw.com isetiawan@abnrlaw.com www.abnrlaw.com



ISBN 978-1-912228-17-1